

## Technical Report

**Report No** R15309

**Product Tested:** Eurocell – Euroslide Patio Doors with Low Threshold

**Test Conducted for:** Eurocell Profiles  
Fairbrook House  
Clover Nook Road  
Alfreton  
Derbyshire  
DE55 4RF

**Standard Specified:** BS 6375 Pt 1:2009  
BS EN 1026: 2000  
BS EN 12207:2000  
BS EN 1027:2000  
BS EN 12208:2000  
BS EN 12210:2000  
BS EN 12211:2000

**Project No:** 15309

**Date of Test:** 29<sup>th</sup> September 2015

**Test Conducted at:** Wintech Engineering Limited  
Halesfield 2  
Telford  
Shropshire  
TF7 4QH

**Test Conducted by:** Anthony Price  
Laboratory Technician

**Report Compiled by:** S Ward  
Laboratory Apprentice

**Authorised by:** M Wass  
Technical Director



This report is copyright and contains 23 numbered pages

**REPRODUCTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IN WHOLE OR ANY PART THEREOF MUST NOT BE MADE WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM WINTECH ENGINEERING LTD.**

This report and the results shown within are based upon the information, drawings, samples and tests referred to in the report. The results obtained do not necessarily relate to samples from the production line of the above named company and in no way constitute any form of representation or warranty as to the performance or quality of any products supplied or to be supplied by them. Wintech Engineering Ltd or its employees accept no liability for any damages, charges, cost or expenses in respect of or in relation to any damage to any property or other loss whatsoever arising either directly or indirectly from the use of the report.

## Contents

	Page No.
1. Introduction	3
2. Summary of Test Results	3
3. Description of Test Sample	4
4. Test Arrangement	5
5. Test Procedures	7
6. Test Results	9
7. System Drawings	13

## 1. Introduction

This report describes tests conducted at the test laboratory of Wintech Engineering Ltd to a sliding door sample on behalf of Eurocell Profiles.

The test sequence was conducted on the 29<sup>th</sup> September 2015 in order to determine the weather tightness of the sample. The test methods were in accordance with the following standards as per the request of Eurocell Profiles.

Windows & Doors, Air Permeability test method	BS EN 1026: 2000
Windows & Doors, Air Permeability classification	BS EN 12207: 2000
Windows & Doors, Watertightness test method	BS EN 1027: 2000
Windows & Doors, Watertightness classification	BS EN 12208: 2000
Windows & Doors, Wind Resistance test method	BS EN 12211: 2000
Windows & Doors, Wind Resistance classification	BS EN 12210: 2000
Performance of Windows & Doors, Classification and Guidance for Weather Tightness	BS 6375 Part 1: 2009

Wintech Engineering Ltd is accredited by the United Kingdom Accreditation Service as UKAS Testing Laboratory No. 2223.

## 2. Summary of Results

The following summarises the results of testing carried out, in accordance with the relevant testing & classification standards.

	<i>Test Method &amp; Classification Standard</i>	<i>Achieved Max. Test Pressure</i>	<i>Classification</i>
Air Permeability	BS EN 1026: 2000 BS EN 12207: 2000	300 Pa	Class 2
Water Tightness	BS EN 1027: 2000 BS EN 12208: 2000	100 Pa	3A
Wind Resistance	BS EN 12211:2000 BS EN 12210: 2000	1200 Pa	A3

More comprehensive details are reported in Section 6.

**Note:** These results are valid only for the conditions under which the test was conducted

**Note:** All measurement devices, instruments and other relevant equipment were calibrated and traceable to National Standards.

### 3. Description of Test Sample

Sample description and drawings request form		
<b><u>NAME OF SYSTEM:</u></b>	Window system used for product	Eurocell Euroslide Patio Doors with Low Threshold
<b><u>MANUFACTURED BY:</u></b>	Company who have manufactured the product	Eurocell Technical Department
<b><u>SAMPLE SIZE:</u></b>	mm wide x mm high	3000 x 2400
<b><u>WINDOW MATERIAL TYPE:</u></b>	e.g. timber type, aluminium, PVC etc	PVC Frame and Sashes Aluminium Low Threshold Steel Reinforcing's
<b><u>JOINING METHOD:</u></b>	e.g. mitre and welded or mechanical etc.	Mitre and welded top frame and sashes Mechanical joint btm frame to threshold
<b><u>LOCKING POINTS:</u></b>	Outside view showing positions of all locking points, e.g. keep positions	Mila Fearless 6 point lock to slider Mila 3 point mush cam espag to interlock MI Securite interlock protectors and corner bolt
<b><u>GLASS MAKE UP &amp; THICKNESS:</u></b>	E.G. 6-12-6, Float, K Glass and overall glass size	28mm clear 6-16-6 toughened glass
<b><u>GASKETS:</u></b>	O/F, sash seals or any weather seals used inc. part numbers and materials	Co- extruded seals to all glazing EWS452G interlock brush seal EWS451G sash brush seal EWS450G frame cover brush seal VSW401G aluminium thresh brush seal EWS453G top centre frame brush seal
<b><u>HARDWARE USED:</u></b>	Part numbers and name of manufacture	Mila - locks handle and cylinder (30-30) MI Products - top corner bolt, aluminium interlock and protectors Mila - handle to interlock mechanism
<b><u>DRAINAGE:</u></b>	Positions, dimensions and quantity of slots. (NOT APPLICABLE FOR BS7950)	2 slots bottom of each sash 5 x 25 1 centre of threshold 30 x 30 1 each end off connecting blocks
<b><u>SEALANTS USED:</u></b>	E.g. brief description of silicone or glue used for end caps and mitres if applicable	Low modulus sealant around ends of connecting blocks at threshold frame joints
<b><u>SUB FRAME FIXINGS:</u></b>	Positions of fixings and screws used	5 x 80mm screw fixing for frame 4.3 x 25 screw fixing for threshold
<b><u>HARDWARE FIXINGS:</u></b>	Part numbers and locations of all fixings used within the product	4.3 x 40 gimlet point screws for lock 3.9 x 45 drill point for strikers 3.9 x 32 drill point for interlock protectors
<b><u>DRAWINGS:</u></b>	These need to include part numbers to identify profile part numbers and overall product size and sash size	Cross section vertical with reinforcing Cross section horizontal with reinforcing
Are the samples provided representative of standard production?		Samples representative

See Section 7 for test sample drawings as supplied by Eurocell Profiles.

## 4. Test Arrangement

### 4.1 Test Chamber

A window specimen, supplied for testing in accordance with the relevant British and European Standards, was mounted into a rigid test chamber. The pressure within the chamber was controlled by means of a centrifugal fan and a system of ducting and valves. The static pressure difference between the outside and inside of the chamber being measured by means of a liquid manometer.

### 4.2 Instrumentation

#### 4.2.1 Static Pressure

A liquid manometer capable of measuring rapid changes in pressure to an accuracy within 2%, was used to measure the pressure differential across the sample.

#### 4.2.2 Air Flow

An air flow meter, mounted in the air system ducting was used to measure the airflow required to obtain pressures within the test chamber. The system has the capability of measuring airflow through the sample to an accuracy of  $\pm 5\%$ .

#### 4.2.3 Water Flow

An in line flow meter, mounted in the spray frame water supply system, was used to measure water flow to the test sample to an accuracy of  $\pm 5\%$ .

#### 4.2.4 Deflection

DTI's with an accuracy of 0.1mm were used to measure deflection of principle framing members. These measurement devices were mounted at mid span and as near to the supports of the members and located in such a way that any measurement was not influenced by the application of any loading to the sample. The gauges were mounted as shown in Figure 2.

#### 4.2.5 Temperature & Humidity

A digital data logger capable of measuring temperature with an accuracy of  $\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  and humidity with an accuracy of  $\pm 5\% \text{Rh}$  was used.

## 4.3 Pressure Generation

### 4.3.1 Static Air Pressure

The air supply system comprised of a centrifugal fan assembly and associated ducting and control valves and was used to create both positive and negative static pressure differentials. The fan provided a constant airflow at the required pressure and period required for the tests.

**Note: References are made to both positive and negative pressures in this document, it should be noted that in these instances, positive pressure is when pressure on the weather face of the sample is greater than that on the inside face and vice versa.**


## 4.4 Water Spray System

The spray nozzles have a circular full cone spray pattern and a spray angle of  $120^\circ (+0^\circ/-10^\circ)$  at working pressure of 2 – 3 bar and a flow rate of 2 litres/min ( $\pm 0.2$  litres/min) per nozzle. The nozzles were spaced at 400 mm ( $\pm 10\text{mm}$ ) along the axis of the spraybar and the nozzles were arranged so that the lateral distance between the outer edge of the surround and the outermost nozzles shall be greater than 50mm but not exceeding 250mm.

The nozzle line was located not more than 150mm above the topmost horizontal joint line of any moving frame or the glazing line of any fixed glazing, in order to provide complete wetting of the adjacent

horizontal frame member(s). The nozzle line was also located at a distance of 250mm (+10mm/- 0mm) from the external face of the specimen as defined by the outermost external joint plane of moving parts or the glazing plane of fixed parts.

Figure 1 – Test arrangement



## 5. Test Procedures

### 5.1 Sequence of Testing

1. Air Permeability – Infiltration
2. Air Permeability – Exfiltration
3. Watertightness
4. Wind Resistance - P1
5. Wind Resistance - P2
6. Repeat Air Permeability – Infiltration
7. Repeat Air Permeability – Exfiltration
8. Wind Resistance - P3

**Note: Prior to all testing the sample was conditioned for at least 4 hours at between 10 – 30°C & 25 – 75% RH, as required by the relevant testing standards.**

### 5.2 Air Permeability - Infiltration

Three (3) preparatory pulses of 500 Pa positive pressure were applied to the test sample and any opening lights opened and closed at least once.

The test results were determined by measuring the rate of air flow through the test chamber whilst subjecting the sample to positive pressure differentials as follows: 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 and 300 Pa each step being held for at least 10 seconds.

Leakage through the test chamber and joints between the chamber and test sample was determined by sealing the sample with adhesive tape and polythene sheeting and measuring the air flows at the above pressures. The preparation pulses and test sequence were then repeated with the sample unsealed and the difference between readings being the air leakage through the test sample.

### 5.3 Air Permeability - Exfiltration

Three (3) preparatory pulses of 500 Pa negative pressure were applied to the test sample and any opening lights opened and closed at least once.

The test results were determined by measuring the rate of air flow through the test chamber whilst subjecting the sample to positive pressure differential as follows: 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 and 300 Pa, this step being held for at least 10 seconds.

Leakage through the test chamber and joints between the chamber and test sample was determined by sealing the sample with adhesive tape and polythene sheeting and measuring the air flows at the above pressures. The preparation pulses and test sequence were then repeated with the sample unsealed and the difference between readings being the air leakage through the test sample.

### 5.4 Watertightness

Any opening lights were opened and closed at least once before testing. Water was then sprayed on to the sample as per section 4.4, for 15 minutes at 0 Pa. The water spray continued and the pressure was increased in the following increments: 50 and 100 Pa (each stage being held for 5 minutes).

The interior face of the sample was continuously monitored for water ingress throughout the test.

### 5.5 Wind Resistance

#### 5.5.1 Wind Resistance – P1

Three pressure pulses were applied to the test sample equal to 1320 Pa positive pressure (Pressure P1 + 10%) and each peak held for at least 3 seconds. After returning to zero pressure, all sensors were then zeroed.

Peak test of 1200 Pa was applied at a rate not exceeding 100 Pa/sec, either incrementally or continuously. Once the peak pressure was reached, it was maintained for a period of 30 seconds, and the required frontal deflections were recorded. The pressure was then reduced to 0 Pa, at a rate not greater than 100 Pa/sec, and the residual deformation was recorded within  $60 \pm 5$  secs of returning to 0 Pa.

The test was then repeated at Negative pressure.

After each of the above tests, the sample was checked for damage in a manner described in the test standard.

### 5.5.2 Wind Resistance – P2

The sample was subjected to 50 cycles including negative & positive pressures.

The first step was at a test pressure of 600 Pa negative pressure and followed by 600 Pa positive pressure, as was the last of the sequence of 50 cycles. The time in which the variation from – 600 Pa and + 600 Pa and the reverse was 7 secs ( $\pm 3$  secs), with each peak being maintained for 7 secs ( $\pm 3$  secs).

Following completion of the required 50 cycles, all moving parts of the test sample were opened and closed and note was taken of any damage or defects.

The standard then requires that a repeat air permeability test is conducted prior to a Safety test.

### 5.5.3 Wind Resistance – P3

The safety test consisted of one cycle of a negative and positive test pressures, with the peak test pressure being 1800 Pa and negative test pressure applied first.

The time in which the variation from 0 Pa to – 1800 Pa and back to 0 Pa was 7 secs ( $\pm 3$  secs) between each stage, with the peak being maintained for 7 secs ( $\pm 3$  secs).

Positive test pressure was applied following a 7 secs ( $\pm 3$  secs) rest at 0 Pa. Variation from 0 Pa to +1800 Pa and back to 0 Pa was the same duration as for the negative test pressure P3.

Following completion of the test, the sample was checked to ensure it stayed closed and any parts of the sample which had come detached were recorded.

## 6. Test Results

### 6.1 Lab Conditions

The conditions measured inside the laboratory were as follows:

Temperature °C	Humidity %rh	Atmospheric Pressure kPa
20	65	98.6

### 6.2 Air Permeability

#### 6.2.1 Reference Air Permeability

Class	Reference air permeability @ 100 Pa based on Area (m <sup>3</sup> /h/m <sup>2</sup> )	Reference air permeability @ 100 Pa based on length of opening joint (m <sup>3</sup> /h/m)
0	Not Tested	Not Tested
1	50	12.50
2	27	6.75
3	9	2.25
4	3	0.75

The required air permeability figures for all additional pressure steps in all classifications were calculated using the equation given in BS EN 12207: 2000.

#### 6.2.2 Air Permeability – Results

Calculated area of test sample                    7.21 m<sup>2</sup>  
Measured length of opening joints                7.71 m


##### 6.2.2.1 Initial Air Permeability Tests 1 & 2

Pressure Differential Pa	Air Permeability Rate Infiltration & Exfiltration Tests m <sup>3</sup> /hr/m <sup>2</sup> - Area			Air Permeability Rate Infiltration & Exfiltration Tests m <sup>3</sup> /hr/m - Length of Joint		
	Test No. 1	Test No. 2	Average	Test No. 1	Test No. 2	Average
50	4.09	3.35	3.72	3.83	3.13	3.48
100	5.98	5.92	5.95	5.59	5.54	5.57
150	8.86	7.20	8.03	8.29	6.74	7.52
200	10.38	9.34	9.86	9.71	8.74	9.22
250	11.93	10.86	11.39	11.16	10.16	10.66
300	13.55	11.98	12.76	12.67	11.21	11.94

##### 6.2.2.1 Repeat Air Permeability Tests 6 & 7

Pressure Differential Pa	Air Permeability Rate Infiltration & Exfiltration Tests m <sup>3</sup> /hr/m <sup>2</sup> - Area			Air Permeability Rate Infiltration & Exfiltration Tests m <sup>3</sup> /hr/m - Length of Joint		
	Test No. 6	Test No. 7	Average	Test No. 6	Test No. 7	Average
50	2.81	2.78	2.79	2.63	2.60	2.61
100	5.01	4.78	4.89	4.68	4.47	4.58
150	7.04	5.38	6.21	6.59	5.04	5.81
200	8.70	7.37	8.03	8.14	6.89	7.52
250	10.12	9.57	9.84	9.47	8.95	9.21
300	11.70	11.41	11.56	10.95	10.68	10.81


Graph 1 –Air Permeability - Area




Graph 2 – Air Permeability – Length of joint



Graph 3 –Air Permeability - Area



Graph 4 – Air Permeability – Length of joint



### 6.2.3 Areas of Significant Leakage

During the test, there were no areas of air leakage identified.

### 6.2.4 Air Permeability – Classification

Based on Area	Based on Length of Opening Joint
2	2

Note: The standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor  $k = 2$ , providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%, for the above measurements is  $\pm 6.86\%$  of the result

## 6.3 Watertightness Testing

### 6.3.1 Reference Watertightness Classification

Test Pressure (Pa)	Classification	Specifications
-	0	No Requirement
0	1A	Water Spray for 15 mins
50	2A	As Class 1 + 5 mins
100	3A	As Class 2 + 5 mins
150	4A	As Class 3 + 5 mins
200	5A	As Class 4 + 5 mins
250	6A	As Class 5 + 5 mins
300	7A	As Class 6 + 5 mins
450	8A	As Class 7 + 5 mins
600	9A	As Class 8 + 5 mins
>600	Exxxx	Above 600 Pa, in steps 150 Pa (each step 5 mins)

## 6.3.2 Watertightness – Results

Water Temperature °C	14
Spray method used	1A

Observations	
Air Pressure (Pa)	Comments
0 x 15 mins	No Leakage Observed
50 x 5 mins	No Leakage Observed
100 x 5 mins	No Leakage Observed

## 6.3.3 Watertightness - Classification

Overall Classification
3A

## 6.4 Wind Resistance

### 6.4.1 Reference Wind Resistance Classification

Classification of Wind Load

Class	Test Pressures (Pa)		
	P1	P2	P3
0	Not Tested		
1	400	200	600
2	800	400	1200
3	1200	600	1800
4	1600	800	2400
5	2000	1000	3000
Exxxx	xxxx	xxxx (x 0.5)	xxxx (x 1.5)

Note The test pressure, P2, is repeated 50 times. Specimens tested with wind loads above class 5 are classification Exxxx, where xxxx is the actual test pressure P1.

Classification of Deflection

Class	Relative Frontal Deflection
A	<L/150
B	<L/200
C	<L/300

Overall Wind Load Classification

Wind Load Class	Relative Frontal Deflection Class		
	A	B	C
1	A1	B1	C1
2	A2	B2	C2
3	A3	B3	C3
4	A4	B4	C4
5	A5	B5	C5
Exxxx	AExxxx	BExxxx	CExxxx

### 6.4.2 Test 4 – Wind Resistance – P1 Results

Member Under Test	Test Pressure Pa	Maximum Deflection mm	Residual Deformation mm	Deflection Class	Relative Frontal Deflection
Member A	1200	11.9	0.5	Class A	1/197
	-1200	13.4	0.4	Class A	1/174

Note Calculation of deformation was conducted using formula  $(02-(03+01))/2$ . Following the above tests, there was no visible damage to the test sample when viewed as required by the test standard.

The standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor  $k = 2$ , providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%, for the above measurements is + 2.4 % of the result.


### 6.4.3 Test 5 – Wind Resistance – P2 Results

An inspection carried out following Test 5 – Wind Resistance, P2, after 50 cycles at both positive and negative pressure testing at 600 Pa, showed no evidence of any permanent deformation or damage to the test sample.

### 6.4.4 Test 8 – Wind Resistance – P3 Results

An inspection carried out following Test 8 – Wind Resistance, P3, after both positive and negative pressure testing at 1800 Pa, showed no evidence of any permanent deformation or damage to the test sample.


Figure 2 – Position of deflection measurement sensors





### 6.4.5 Wind Resistance - Classification


<b>Overall Classification</b>
A3

## 7. System Drawings























FAIRBROOK HOUSE,  
CLOVER NOOK ROAD,  
ALFRETON,  
NOTTINGHAM,  
DE54 4BE.  
TEL: (01773) 842100  
FAX: (01773) 842199  
WEB: www.eurocell.co.uk

Rev	A
Date	20/07/15
By	L SHAW
Checked	FRIST BSE

Notes	AREA:	SD MH	COMPONENT DESCRIPTION:	Timber Surround - Horizontal
	WEIGHT:		DRAWN BY:	
			COMPANY:	EUROCELL
			DATE:	20/07/2015
			DESIGNER:	L Shaw B.Austin
			90° ANGLE PROJECTION	
			ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE	
			90° ANGLE PROJECTION	
			© This drawing is the property of EUROCELL PROFILUS LTD This drawing must not be copied or otherwise reproduced without the written consent of EUROCELL PROFILUS LTD	
			SHEET	OF
			2308	5





**eurocell**  
All together better

FAIRBROOK HOUSE,  
CLOVEY HOOK ROAD,  
ALFRETON, N.L.  
DERBYSHIRE,  
DE53 4BF  
TEL: (01773) 842100  
FAX: (01773) 842199  
WEB: www.eurocell.co.uk

Rev	A
Date	20/07/15
By	L. SHAW
Checked	FIRST ISSUE

Notes	ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETERS UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE	3RD ANGLE PROJECTION	COMPONENT DESCRIPTION
	<p>AREA: 50 MM</p> <p>WEIGHT:</p>	<p>EUROCELL</p> <p>DATE: 20/07/2015</p> <p>BY: [Signature]</p> <p>SHEET 1 OF 1</p>	<p>7866 Timber Surround - Vertical</p> <p>Drawn: [Signature]</p>

----- END OF REPORT -----